

CANADA

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/757,938 01/16/2004		01/16/2004	David Jonathan Hall	9-15186-4US-1	6329	
20988	7590 09/12/2006			EXAMINER		
OGILVY R	ENAUL	T LLP	WINAKUR, ERIC FRANK			
1981 MCGII	LL COLL	EGE AVENUE		· ·		
SUITE 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MONTREA	L, QC H	3A2Y3	3768			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/757,938	HALL ET AL.					
			xaminer	Art Unit					
		-	iric F. Winakur	3768					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appea	rs on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum started or reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. tatutory period will a v will, by statute, cal	E OF THIS COMMUN 1). In no event, however, may 1) in pply and will expire SIX (6) M 1) use the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on							
			tion is non-final.						
	·								
,,_	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	on of Claims		• • •	,					
4) 又	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the	application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	• •	from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or e	ection requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[]	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		ed or b)□ objected t	o by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any obje								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	is required if the drawing	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 (FR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exam	niner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	for foreign pri	ority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents h	ave been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents h	ave been received in	Application No					
	$3.\square$ Copies of the certified copies	of the priority	documents have bee	en received in this Nationa	l Stage				
	application from the Internation	-	` ···		2				
* 8	see the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of	the certified copies no	ot received.					
				•					
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			v Summary (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or			o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/16/04</u> .	0.00.00;	6) Other: _		- ·- - ,				

Art Unit: 3768

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1 - 22 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 - 21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,694,159. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the patent are written in Jepson form ("In a method of optical imaging ...") which implies that the results of the method of the patent are used in an imaging step. Therefore, it is at least obvious to implement the method of the patent by "using said optimal value for each of said parameter values in imaging said turbid media." Further, although the method of the patent is not directed to a particular optical imaging system, it would have been within the skill level of the art at

the time of the invention to determine an appropriate optical imaging system for implementing the method, including a TPSF imaging system.

Page 3

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Thomas et al., Brown, and Haaland teach various selection and optimization methods for use in optical measurements. However, none of the prior art teaches or suggests, either alone or in combination, a method of optical imaging of turbid media that includes determining an optimal value for each of a plurality of parameter values to be optimized as a function of a performance of the system in measuring a concentration of chromophores in the turbid media for characterizing a property as a whole, in combination with the other steps.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric F. Winakur whose telephone number is 571/272-4736. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 7:30-5; alternate Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eleni Mantis-Mercader can be reached on 571/272-4740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/757,938 Page 4

Art Unit: 3768

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eric F Winakur Primary Examiner Art Unit 3768